

MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF CHURCHILL COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

155 N. Taylor St., Fallon, NV 89406

March 2, 2023

Call to Order:

The regular meeting of the Board of County Commissioners was called to order at 8:17 AM on March 2, 2023.

PRESENT:

Commissioner Harry Scharmann
Commissioner Justin Heath
Commissioner Myles Getto
County Manager Jim R. Barbee
Comptroller Sherry Wideman
Deputy District Attorney Joseph Sanford
Clerk/Treasurer Linda Rothery
Deputy Clerk to the Board Pamela D. Moore
N/A

ABSENT:

Pledge of Allegiance:

The Pledge of Allegiance was recited by the board and public.

Public Comment:

Chairman Scharmann asked if there was any public comment but there was none.

Verification of the Posting of the Agenda:

It was verified by Pamela D. Moore, Deputy Clerk to the Board, that the Agenda for this meeting was posted on the 24th day of February, 2023, between the hours of 4:00 and 5:00 PM at all of the locations listed on the Agenda, in accordance with NRS 241.

Consideration and possible action re: Approval of Agenda as revised:

Deputy Clerk Moore reported that the approval of the Minutes had been withdrawn, as those are not yet completed and, therefore, the Agenda needs to be approved as revised.

Commissioner Myles Getto made a motion to approve the Agenda as revised.

Commissioner Justin Heath seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous vote.

Consideration and possible action re: Approval of Minutes of the meeting held on:

A- February 2, 2023.

The Minutes of the meeting held on February 2, 2023 are presented for the board's consideration and approval.

FISCAL IMPACT: N/A

EXPLANATION OF IMPACT: N/A

FUNDING SOURCE: N/A

ACTION REQUESTED: Accept

This item was withdrawn prior to the meeting as the Minutes were not yet complete.

Appointments:

1:30 PM A- Consideration and possible action re: Application for continued support funding for the Churchill Art's Council in the amount of \$8,000 to be considered in the Fiscal Year 2023 Budget, with an update on activities.

The Churchill Arts Council (CAC), a private 501(C)3 non-profit arts organization, was founded in 1986 and began with artists' residency programs in schools and presenting performing arts events. A few years later, the historic Oats Park School building was identified as a potential candidate to house the arts center. Following completion of a feasibility/conceptual study and funding from various sources, renovation began in 1996. In July 1999, Oats Park was designated as an Official Project of Save America's Treasures, which opened the door for funding work related to preservation and renovation. The theater opened in 2003, the visual art gallery in 2006, and the final portions, including a café and catering kitchen, were completed in 2016 (see Churchill Arts Council's Mission Statement and brief history provided).

Churchill County has been providing annual support to the Churchill Arts Council for over 20 years, in varying amounts. In FY18, the Arts Council sought support in the amount of \$10,000 but due to what was already approved in the county's budget, a total of \$5,000 was provided in FY18. That same amount was also issued in FY19 and FY20. In FY21, CAC sought an increase to \$8,000, which was approved by the Board of County Commissioners and was reflected in the tentative budget. A total of \$8,000 was provided in FY22. CAC is seeking the same amount of support as their FY22 request in the amount of \$8,000.

The Churchill Arts Council has provided a letter to the board, along with a county application for funding for FY22, their mission statement, information on their proposal for 2022-2023, objectives and goals, as well as their budget documents. CAC has completed the required documents, provided additional information for consideration, is a non-profit organization, and continues to meet the guidelines desirable for support.

FISCAL IMPACT: FY23: \$8,000.

EXPLANATION OF IMPACT: The Churchill Arts Council line item within the Community Support Budget has previously been funded at \$5,000 and was approved in the county's FY21 tentative budget to increase to \$8,000. However, due to the budget impacts from COVID, the board directed that the FY21 budget be rolled back to the FY20 amount and then was further reduced by 7%, which resulted in funding of \$4,650 for CAC in FY21. A total of \$8,000 was provided in FY22.

FUNDING SOURCE: Community Support Budget / Churchill Arts Council: 100-401-70240.

ACTION REQUESTED: Accept

Doug Moore, Churchill Arts Council, made this presentation as outlined above. He also reported that, unfortunately, their Executive Director is resigning in July, so they are looking for a new director. Dr. Tedd McDonald said this has been an interesting year after losing our Executive Director two years ago. The Board of Directors has done a good job of stepping up and filling in

the gaps that we had. It has been a learning experience for most of us and what has come out of this is a welcoming by the board in trying to bring the community into our facility. We have had a very good experience working with Carol Lloyd at the library. Our literary presentations have been awesome. We had a chance to work with Carol and her organization to bring artists to Nevada and they give presentations at our facility. It works out best for everybody in that situation. People get to come and see our building and writers come into a venue that a lot of people don't appreciate enough. Carol helped us get a grant for an art project. We brought in a local artist, Cody Deegan, and he taught art classes to 15 senior citizens. The basis of this was that, for senior citizens, art is a cognitive function that helps keep their function at a higher level. I had a chance to participate in these classes now that I am a senior citizen and I appreciated the comradery and the work with the library. We also just scheduled our Green Goddess event, which is an opportunity for us to work with the local farmers bringing in their produce. I have about 14 years working with the Arts Council on their board and participating. Prior to that, I had the opportunity as a school board member to help start the project of transferring the Oats Park Building to the Arts Council. It has been a grand project and we are dedicated to continuing with it. Every opportunity we have to bring people into the facility, we are open to it and that is going to be a little bit of a shift in our thinking. We still want to stay with the mission but we also want to open things up where we have people coming into the building. We now have art classes for children and adults and music classes in the lower levels. We are expanding and we are growing.

Chairman Scharmann asked if there was any public comment but there was none.

Commissioner Myles Getto made a motion to grant the Churchill Arts Council funding in the sum of \$8,000 from the Fiscal Year 2022-2023 budget. Commissioner Justin Heath seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous vote.

B- Consideration and possible action re: A Parcel Map Application filed by Nathan Zarowny of Idaho Asphalt Supply, on property owned by Bravo Foxtrot NV, located at 22297 Bango Road, Assessor's Parcel Number 007-071-82, consisting of 55.56 acres in the Industrial zoning district, whereby the Applicant proposes to divide the property into two industrial parcels with potential rail access.

The Applicant is requesting a Parcel Map to split the large 55.56 acre industrial property into two lots, with the north lot providing a 20 acre future development site with rail service for Idaho Asphalt. The 2 parcels will be served by a new paved road that will pass from Bango Road through the Safety-Kleen property and reach to the parent parcel. The road will continue into the property on a "flag-pole" segment of the south parcel, which also provides frontage for the north parcel. The Application material includes a road layout. The road will use existing and newly established easements that together meet code requirements.

A substantial gas line lies within a large easement running along the north edge of the parent property, which will also be the north property line for Idaho Asphalt. A rail spur easement that serves the Safety-Kleen facility will be accessible to the north parcel. It is anticipated that a rail spur will be brought into the north lot paralleling the gas line. After the Parcel Map is completed, Idaho Asphalt will submit a Special Use Permit for (a) the asphalt product manufacturing facility, and (b) the rail-based services facility.

The Planning Commission recommends approval of the Parcel Map Application (see the excerpt from the February 8, 2023 Planning Commission Minutes), along with the recommended conditions listed in the Staff Report and included here:

- 1) Any final changes required by the County Surveyor shall be made prior to recording.
- 2) Changes shall be made for compliance with Churchill County Code, including but not limited to:
 - a) Minor typographical and organizational changes to the map and certificates.
 - b) Making any changes to the Owner Certificate and Churchill County Commissioner's Certificate needed to be consistent with the final decision on offers to grant easements and dedicate land and facilities.
 - c) Labels shall be added or modified identifying that the road is proposed, its construction standard, and offering it for dedication. The construction standard shall be stated as "constructed to special Churchill County standard as shown on improvement plans." Roads within the development shall be labeled as being offered for dedication on the map. Portions of roads serving the project that are off-site of project land shall be labeled as being offered for dedication to the county through separate process.
 - d) A road name application and address application (for the new lots) shall be submitted and approved before recording the map.
- 3) The new road shall use a special construction standard determined by the Road Supervisor. The expected standard is 8" of gravel base and 4" of paved asphalt surfacing. Access aprons and a gravel turn around shall be included.
- 4) The intersection of the new road with Bango Road shall be rebuilt using the same standard as the new road. It shall extend east 50 feet beyond the point of connection (the return) of the intersection's curved edge of pavement and extend west to the edge of the road easement.
- 5) A Road Maintenance Agreement that assigns responsibility for maintaining the new road to the parcels within the map shall be recorded along with the map. The agreement shall be approved by the Public Works Director. Associated notes shall be placed on the map.
- 6) The new road shall be signed near the Bango Road intersection stating that the road is privately maintained.

FISCAL IMPACT: N/A

EXPLANATION OF IMPACT: N/A

FUNDING SOURCE: N/A

ACTION REQUESTED: Accept

Chris Spross, Public Works Director, made this presentation as outlined above.

Chairman Scharmann asked if there was any public comment. Comptroller Sherry Wideman said the Agenda Report said that the Minutes from the Planning Commission meeting are attached. I did not see them attached, so how do we know what their findings were. Director Spross said they are supposed to be attached. The Minutes were in the Agenda Packet this morning, so I am surprised they were not part of that. Comptroller Wideman said in the Application, under waterways where it says they are near to this parcel, it is close to the Carson River and to Lahontan, so why wouldn't these be checked off as yes and be required to be shown on the map? Director Spross said this is only for the parcel split, so when the Applicant comes forward with the type of business that they are going to have, more information will be provided then. Comptroller Wideman said but wouldn't it be on the map? You are showing

Reno Highway but, yet, that is way further away. It seems a little incomplete. Director Spross said one of the conditions that is on the staff report is that any final changes that are required by the surveyor will be made prior to recording this map. That will be something that can be added before it is recorded. Comptroller Wideman said when you talk about the Special Use Permit, will that come before this board? Director Spross said it will not come here; it will go before the Planning Commission and they will have final approval.

Chairman Scharmann asked if there was any public comment. Chairman Scharmann said there is a gas line that runs parallel to this property. Is that going to pose any problems for his future plans for this property? Director Spross said, from what I have been told by the Applicant, with the parcel split that is happening now, the owner of the parcel to the south, not the 20 acres, but the remaining acres of property, will not be subdivided and will be used for some sort of industrial matter or some sort of industrial business. Depending on what that business would be, again, they are going to have to come in front of the Commission for a Special Use Permit and, any sort of impact that it may have on the gas line will be addressed at that time. There is currently a spur that goes into Bango that they are planning to tie into. If there is any conflict with the gas, Union Pacific will be able to make any comments during the review process for them applying to put that new spur in.

Don Mello said, if you approve this parcel map, which I assume you will, does that force you then at some later time to approve the paving plan or whatever they are talking about? We fought Bango Oil for 10 years. Super [sic] Kleen finally came in and cleaned it up. Now we are talking about an asphalt plant, which I am assuming is going to make it stink again and then we are back to the same thing. I almost 80 years old. I don't think I have 10 more years to fight a plant that stinks again. If you approve this parcel map, does that force you then, at some later time, to have to approve the paving plant? I am assuming the answer is going to be yes because it happened the last time the same way. It is one of these things that there are a lot of residents out there. There are a lot of other places that this thing could go, so I am just questioning why we have to do it there. If you approve it and then later on you are forced to put the plant there, I am going to be pretty damn mad.

Commissioner Heath said even if they don't split the property, they still can apply to put the plant there, correct? Director Spross said yes, but Idaho Asphalt doesn't own the property. They are splitting it so they can buy parcel #1 because they need it for the rail spur. This split is only for the parcel map. When they decide to move forward with construction, they are going to have to go through a major Special Use Permit Application, which if approved or denied at the Planning Commission stage, it will end up in front of the Commissioners. Comptroller Wideman said, if they approve it, it goes through this board? Director Spross said that is correct. Comptroller Wideman when Commissioner Olsen was here and you were speaking about this location, he requested that any large businesses go before this board, so did that not happen? Director Spross said I have never heard that before. Special Use Permits have always been handled at the level of the Planning Commission. County Manager Barbee said I have never heard of that either and I would push the Comptroller to decide if you need to be on the public side making comments, at this point, or if you are representing staff. Comptroller Wideman said I was representing some things that looked incomplete in the Application. County Manager Barbee said I understand if you are representing your personal views, I get that, but I think you

need to clarify if you are representing staff questions or if you are representing the public, that is important. Commissioner Heath said can we put a stipulation in there in order for that Special Use Permit to be approved that it would have to come before us? Director Spross said I think that is a counsel question. Civil Deputy DA Joe Sanford said, under the code, the initial approval of a Special Use Permit would go in front of the Planning Commission. If they approve it and no one appeals, then it would end at the Planning Commission stage. There are methods to appeal it, at which point, it would come before this board. Commissioner Heath said if it got approved by the Planning Commission, does Don have any other recourse? He lives over there off of the Carson Highway, which is in the same air path of what we had with the Bango plant. The air quality flows right to their house. Civil Deputy DA Joe Sanford said I believe he would have the ability to appeal. The District Attorney's office has the ability to appeal, so there are methods to appeal to this Commission, if that is the desire, but it would not be initially coming before this board.

Chairman Scharmann said I think the asphalt plant needs to know what our concerns are. We went through a lot with Bango. It was approved before I ever came on the board but we went through a lot of this while I was on the board. It all dealt with the smell. They need to be aware of that. I think it would be good if you told them that early on so they can start thinking about and how they are going to resolve such an issue like that. Director Spross said I understand.

Commissioner Heath said this Application is from the plant, not from the owner of the property? Director Spross said that is correct. Bravo Foxtrot Nevada is the owner of the property and Nathan Zarowny represents Idaho Asphalt Supply. Commissioner Heath said then who is splitting the property? Director Spross said Bravo Foxtrot Nevada is splitting the property but Nathan Zarowny filed the Application because he is the one that wants to buy the 20 acres. Chairman Scharmann said this is dealing strictly with the division of the property? Director Spross said that is correct. I just wanted to point that out because of the contentious nature of the previous problems with Bango and the nature of that. There will be another bite of the apple if and when the Applicant puts forward an Application. Don Mello said I just want to make sure that you know if you approve this, does that force you then, at some later time, to have to approve the paving plant? Civil Deputy DA Joe Sanford said the answer to that is definitely no. Don Mello said so when it comes in later on and somebody says you approved one so now you have to approve the other, I am going to come and talk to you. Civil Deputy DA Joe Sanford said they may say why did you approve it. Chairman Scharmann said let's be clear about this. It doesn't mean that it will not be approved by the Planning Commission and it doesn't mean that, if they don't approve it, it doesn't mean that it would not be appealed to us. That could come to us. The decision we are making today does not lock us into a future decision. County Manager Barbee said that is a separate process that is articulated in our code that we practice every day in this county with other projects that come forward to ensure that all rights, both the property owners and the neighbors, are acknowledged and have an opportunity to be heard. Don Mello said I just want you to know that residents have not been acknowledged in the past. I am just warning everybody how bad it can really get and has been. Chairman Scharmann said the problem is, and I am sorry Joe if I am going off the track here, one of the problems with Bango is we didn't have a lot to say about that. It was the EPA that had a lot to say about that. It was at the state level and we could make recommendations to them but they didn't have to take them. Don Mello said I am going to tell you right now, the EPA is kind of like having a submarine

with a screen door on it. They are not worth a damn. Just so you know how I feel about them.

Chairman Scharmann asked if there was any further public comment but there was none.

Commissioner Justin Heath made a motion to: (A) approve the Parcel Map Application for Bravo Foxtrot NV to divide APN 007-071-82 into two parcels, subject to the conditions listed in the Staff Report and Agenda Report, (B) to accept the offer to grant easements identified on the map, and (C) to reject all offers to dedicate lands and improvements at this time. Commissioner Myles Getto seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous vote.

F. C- Consideration and possible action re: Reporting and use of Local Assistance and Tribal Consistency Funds (LATCF) / (ARPA) in the amount of \$3,464,223.86.

In October 2022, Churchill County received \$3,464,223.86 in Local Assistance and Tribal Consistency Funds (LATCF)/(ARPA) from the US Department of the Treasury and another \$3,464,223.86 will be available in October 2023. These American Rescue Plan Act 2021 funds were awarded to local governments that receive federal payments in lieu of taxes, which can be spent on general governmental services. Management requests that these funds be used to fund the design and building of the courthouse, in part.

FISCAL IMPACT: Transfer these grant funds from the General Fund to the Special Ad Valorem Capital Project Fund to be held for the courthouse.

EXPLANATION OF IMPACT: The funds were originally deposited in the General Fund.

FUNDING SOURCE: Special Ad Valorem Capital Project Fund

ACTION REQUESTED: Adopt

Comptroller Wideman, made this presentation as outlined above.

Chairman Scharmann asked if there was any public comment but there was none.

Commissioner Myles Getto made a motion to have the Comptroller file a report with the US Department of the Treasury stating that these funds will be used to cover payroll within the General Fund, and then transfer the cost savings from the General Fund to help fund the construction of the courthouse. Commissioner Justin Heath seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous vote.

D- Consideration and possible action re: Approval of a 5-month extension request by Hiatt Land & Development, LLC to complete the road improvements to record the Parcel Map for APN: 007-311-42 as required by the conditions of approval.

On March 3, 2022, the Board of County Commissioners approved the Tentative Parcel Map for Hiatt Land and Development, LLC to divide one parcel into four parcels on APN 007-311-42, located at 2880 Enterprise Way. A condition of approval was for the road serving the four parcels to be constructed or financially guaranteed prior to recording the map. The Applicant has requested a 5-month extension as the extreme winter temperatures and moisture conditions have impacted the contractor's schedule to complete the road improvements.

FISCAL IMPACT: None.

EXPLANATION OF IMPACT: N/A

FUNDING SOURCE: N/A
ACTION REQUESTED: Accept

Chris Spross, Public Works Director, made this presentation as outlined above.

Chairman Scharmann asked if there was any public comment but there was none.

Commissioner Justin Heath made a motion to approve a 5-month extension to complete the road improvements. Commissioner Myles Getto seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous vote.

E- Consideration and possible action re: Approval of class specifications and pay ranges for the Central Nevada Health District (CNHD).

The Central Nevada Health District (CNHD) is continuing to lay the groundwork for providing services to the communities it serves. As it moves forward, there is a need to hire several positions. These positions have been identified by staff, consultants, and the CNHD board as vital to provide the level of services needed in the CNHD. The job descriptions (aside from the Grant and Fiscal Specialist and the Office Specialist) have been developed by Social Services staff and consultants, and have been approved by the CNHD board.

The recommended pay ranges have been developed by evaluating the positions in other health districts or Nevada communities providing similar services. This includes the Southern Nevada Health District. (Normally the county would use Class 3 counties, Washoe County, and the State of Nevada, but most Class 3 counties do not have comparable positions, so there would not be enough comparables to determine an appropriate salary range.) The CNHD board has budgeted sufficient funding to place the positions at the pay ranges indicated.

The recommended pay ranges are as follows:

- Clinical Services Manager - Grade 66 (\$75,629 to \$101,712)
- Environmental Health Manager - Grade 68 (\$79,477 to \$106,891)
- Public Health Preparedness Manager - Grade 66 (\$75,629 to \$101,712)
- Family Nurse Practitioner - Grade 71 (\$85,571 to \$115,128)
- Eligibility Specialist (WIC) - Grade 39 (\$38,813 to \$52,208)
- Grant and Fiscal Specialist - Grade 48 (\$48,464 to \$65,187)
- Office Specialist - Grade 39 (\$38,813 to \$52,208)

At the outset, the employees hired to work in the CNHD will be Churchill County employees, assigned to work in the CNHD division. For this reason, staff is attempting to insert the pay ranges into the county's current pay structure. Aligning health positions within the county's internal equity structure is challenging. As the CNHD becomes established, they will operate independently and have the ability to set their pay ranges as they see fit and as best fits their budget.

Staff requests approval of these positions at the indicated pay ranges. Staff also requests permission to begin recruitment and selection immediately, upon direction from the CNHD board. Because of anticipated challenges in hiring qualified candidates and because of the desire to have the best employees on board as the health district gets up and running, staff requests

permission to hire at an advanced step for the three manager positions and the Nurse Practitioner positions, up to the top step of the range. Sufficient funding has been budgeted in the CNHD budget to accommodate the advanced steps.

FISCAL IMPACT: \$0

EXPLANATION OF IMPACT: The budget for CNHD has already been established, having anticipated these positions. There is sufficient funding as well for the requested advanced step placements upon hire.

FUNDING SOURCE: CNHD Funding Sources

ACTION REQUESTED: Accept

Geof Stark, Human Resources Director, said with the Central Nevada Health District (CNHD), as it moves forward, there is a need to hire several positions. These positions have been identified by staff, consultants, and the CNHD board as vital to provide the level of services needed in the CNHD. The job descriptions (aside from the Grant and Fiscal Specialist and the Office Specialist) have been developed by Social Services staff and consultants and have been approved by the CNHD board. The recommended pay ranges have been developed by evaluating the positions in other health districts or Nevada communities providing similar services. Normally, the county would use Class 3 counties, Washoe County, and the State of Nevada, but most Class 3 counties do not have comparable positions, so there would not be enough comparables to determine an appropriate salary range. The budget for CNHD has already been established, having anticipated these positions. There is sufficient funding for the requested advanced step placements upon hire. We think it is going to be challenging to fill these positions and we want to make sure to get the district up and running as smoothly as possible. I want to get the most qualified folks in there. We are asking for permission, as needed, to hire at an advanced step before we make any offers.

Chairman Scharmann asked if there was any public comment but there was none.

County Manager Barbee said something that has kind of arisen in the structure of this thing and I am kind of directing this to Joe, as well, so that we are not having to come back and forth between two different boards on hiring these positions. I would suggest to give the authority to the entity that is hiring and paying, the CNHD, to select those salary steps, negotiate those fully, on their end, as it is not a direct impact on the county other than our share, which we have representation there to address that component, but then the larger question is, is that something that we should create an addendum to the Agreement with the county simply because every time that they have a position move or a position change, you are basically having to go through two authority boards. You have to go to the board that actually represents the CNHD and all the counties to get approval to hire at a certain pay range and then come back to this board, which really seems a little bit redundant to me. Civil Deputy DA Joe Sanford said this should be something that should be done through an addendum to the Agreement. I think we all knew when we made that Agreement that there would be some level of hiccups that would come along that we didn't realize when we were making them and this seems to be one of them. My recommendation today would be to adopt these but we would do an addendum. County Manager Barbee said can we adopt these with the caveat that the CNHD can or will approve them up to the highest pay grade so the district can negotiate those salaries directly, so at least, until we get the addendum they're not coming back and forth? Civil Deputy DA Joe Sanford said if that is

what the board wants to do, they are authorized to do so. County Manager Barbee said I would just bring that to the board's attention.

Comptroller Wideman said will this be causing an augmentation to the tentative budget that was already submitted? County Manager Barbee said I think they were budgeted and I will leave it up to Shannon but I think they budgeted these at the high end. It will probably be in excess, kind of like we do of what the actual salary expenditure will be. Shannon Ernst, Social Services Director said this is up to the 13th step, hoping that we could put them at the lower amount. We would have to revise budgets through grants is the only thing at this time.

Chairman Scharmann said refresh my memory, which counties are involved in this. County Manager Barbee said, Churchill, Mineral, Pershing, and the City of Fallon. Eureka is currently a Cooperative Agreement but, if the bill passes at the Legislature, then they would be eligible and are pursuing full membership, for lack of a better word. I think that covers them all. Chairman Scharmann said are each of these entities putting in the same amount of money? County Manager Barbee said no because they have varying levels of population. Obviously, Eureka would not be putting in the same amount as Churchill County would. Chairman Scharmann said Eureka is one of the richest counties in the state. County Manager Barbee said that doesn't mean that they feel like they should pay per their population who the health district will serve at a higher rate than any other county would. It is prorated based on population. Part of that is all dictated through statute through the rules for forming a health district. Director Ernst, said it was a buy in rate of \$100,000 per member, plus a \$5 per capita and a 20% contingency until the fund reaches \$200,000. The annual contingency fee shall only be collected in years when the unused portion of the contingency fund is less than \$200,000 on December 31 immediately preceding the July 15 that the annual contingency fee is due. That is in all of our Agreements and, even if Eureka is not in the district, that agreement would carry forward July 1, with that structure. Chairman Scharmann said is that \$100,000 going towards salaries? Director Ernst said no, that is for the buy-in. The salaries are paid from various grants and also the fees coming from the communities. There are close to seven grants right now that are coming forward, which will be about \$4M that will help over the next year to help support the setup and the process of the CNHD salaries and operations. Chairman Scharmann said where are these positions going to be located? Director Ernst said these positions will be located over at the Annex Building until a new facility is constructed. There will be a clerical specialist and a community health worker in each of the counties and they have nurses' offices already set up but we will take over operations in July 2023.

Chairman Scharmann asked if there was any further public comment but there were none.

Commissioner Myles Getto made a motion to: (1) approve the positions, class specifications, and pay ranges for the Central Nevada Health District (CNHD), as outlined in the Agenda Report; (2) approve the recruitment for the positions to proceed, and (3) approve the hiring at an advanced step, up to Step 13, for each of the manager positions and the Nurse Practitioner position and to authorize the Central Nevada Health District Board to make all decisions related to hiring. Commissioner Justin Heath seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous vote.

F- Consideration and possible action re: Approval for upgrades to equipment in the

Commission Chambers for the A/V rack, microphones, livestream and services with SoSu TV in the amount of \$92,680.

In 2013, major upgrades were made to the Commission Chambers A/V system. In 2017, we made some minor changes to accommodate the installation of the TVs. The A/V upgrades include replacement of aged and out of date hardware and data cables. The current livestream software has had issues and will be replaced. The new livestream services will use Churchill County's YouTube channel to broadcast live meetings from three cameras. The current microphones are very old and will be replaced. The new microphones are wireless and portable and we will increase the quantity. Also, included in the work in the Commission Chambers is installation of two more televisions, one overhead for the board to view and one on the back side wall for the public to view.

FISCAL IMPACT: \$92,680.

EXPLANATION OF IMPACT: Equipment upgrades to the Commission Chambers.

FUNDING SOURCE: Capital Outlay - Equipment - 100-110-75100.

ACTION REQUESTED: Accept

Linda Rothery, Clerk/Treasurer, made this presentation as outlined above.

Chairman Scharmann asked if there was any public comment but there was none.

Commissioner Justin Heath made a motion to approve the equipment upgrades in the Chambers by SoSu TV in the sum of \$92,680. Commissioner Myles Getto seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous vote.

Letters Received:

A- Bureau of Land Management's notification that it is preparing an Environmental Assessment to analyze the potential impacts of modifying grazing permits to improve Lahontan Cutthroat Trout habitat on grazing allotments.

The Bureau of Land Management (BLM), Nevada State Office in Reno, Nevada is preparing an Environmental Assessment (EA) to analyze the potential impacts of modifying grazing permits to create flexibility in livestock management to improve Lahontan Cutthroat Trout habitat on BLM Nevada-administered grazing allotments. To fulfill National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) requirements, the EA would analyze the environmental effects of flexibility in the terms and conditions of grazing permits administered by the following BLM Districts: Carson City, Winnemucca, Battle Mountain, and Elko. The project area includes 40 allotments administered through 43 permits, containing approximately 368 miles of occupied LCT stream habitat and approximately 875 acres of occupied LCT lake habitat.

Lahontan Cutthroat Trout are a federally listed threatened species, with habitat throughout northern Nevada, southern Oregon, and eastern California. They were initially listed as endangered under the Endangered Species Conservation Act of 1969 based on evidence of destruction and drastic modification of their habitat and hybridization with introduced trout species (35 Federal Register 13520). However, they were reclassified as a threatened species in 1975 to facilitate management and allow regulated angling (40 Federal Register 29864).

Under the proposed action, the BLM would allow for grazing permit modifications on BLM

Nevada-administered grazing allotments containing occupied LCT habitat. Grazing flexibilities would be implemented based on monitoring results, and would include any potential modification to the terms and conditions on grazing permits, including season-of-use alterations, changes in the class or kind of livestock, changes to pasture rotation, earlier or later livestock on/off dates, changes in stocking rates, and implementation of rest-rotation or a deferment of pastures or allotments.

Action alternatives analyzed under this EA would address various activities intended to restore watershed conditions and could include one or a suite of activities to achieve objectives. In addition to the livestock management actions described above, low-technology methods, such as hardened crossings, induced meandering, beaver dam analogs, and construction of water developments away from natural surface water, are some of the range improvements or restoration activities that would be included in the analysis. The EA action alternatives would analyze how impacts would change with range improvements and restoration activities in addition to the grazing management changes listed under the proposed action.

Flexibilities in livestock management for the purposes of improving occupied LCT habitat would allow for continued livestock use while accommodating changes in use patterns, annual changes in forage, and changes in weather patterns, including the effects of fire or drought. Allowing flexibility to adjust grazing use would provide more timely and responsive adjustments to changing conditions to achieve identified LCT habitat objectives.

The purpose of this letter is to notify interested parties of the opportunity to participate in the development of this EA. The 30-day public scoping period will open February 15, 2023; the public is invited to submit comments between February 15 and March 17, 2023. A second opportunity for public involvement will take place during the draft EA development in September-October 2023. The EA is expected to be completed in February 2024.

The BLM will be conducting two in-person public scoping meetings in Nevada. In addition to the in-person meetings, the BLM will also hold two virtual public scoping meetings. Meeting information is as follows:

- March 7, 2023 - Elko, NV, 2-4 pm, Elko Convention Center, 700 Moren Way, Elko, NV 89801.
- March 8, 2023 - Winnemucca, NV, 4-6 pm, Humboldt County Extension Office, 1085 Fairgrounds Road, Winnemucca, NV 89445.
- March 9, 2023 - Zoom Webinar, 10-12 pm & 4-6 pm, Registration link:

https://empsi.zoom.us/webinar/register/WN_XntekZ89RriUbFZzDPUL9g

Any individuals, groups, or organizations wishing to comment on this process can submit electronic comments including attachments by email in word (.doc), rich text format (.rtf), or text (.txt) to BLM NV GrazingforLCT@blm.gov with the subject line "BLM NV Grazing for LCT".

Hypertext markup language (.html), online submissions, can be submitted to:

<https://eplanning.blm.gov/eplanning-ui/project/2023450/510>. Written comments can be submitted to:

Nevada State Office
Attention: BLM NV Grazing for LCT
1340 Financial Boulevard
Reno, NV 89502-7147
The project website includes more detailed information.

FISCAL IMPACT: N/A

EXPLANATION OF IMPACT: N/A

FUNDING SOURCE: N/A

ACTION REQUESTED: None; Informational Only

B- The Nevada Division of Emergency Management (Division) is required under NRS239C.270 § 2(b) to collect a Vulnerability Assessment (VA) and Emergency Response Plan (ERP) for utilities no later than December 31st of each year.

The Division of Emergency Management (Division) is required under NRS239C.270 § 2(b) to collect a Vulnerability Assessment (VA) and Emergency Response Plan (ERP) for utilities no later than December 31st of each year.

Utilities (as defined in NRS 704.020 § 2(a) and NRS 239C.110) are required to conduct a vulnerability assessment in accordance with the requirements of the federal and regional agencies that regulate the utility and prepare and maintain an emergency response plan in accordance with the requirements of the federal and regional agencies that regulate the utility. NRS239C.270 § 1(a) (b).

The governmental utilities in your jurisdiction have been reported to the State of Nevada Public Utilities Commission (PUCN) and the Governor of the State of Nevada as follows:

In Compliance
N/A

In Non-Compliance
Fallon Naval Air Station

The enforcement of compliance for any properties which did not file plans with the Division by December 31, 2022, as required by NRS 239C.270 § 2(a)(b) is solely within the purview of the PUCN.

Should you have any questions, please contact Megan Hall, our Emergency Management Planning Supervisor at 775-687-0370 or via email at mehall@dem.nv.gov.

FISCAL IMPACT: N/A

EXPLANATION OF IMPACT: N/A

FUNDING SOURCE: N/A

ACTION REQUESTED: None; Informational Only

New Business:

A- Consideration and possible action re: Adoption of Resolution 3-2023 authorizing the

Clerk/Treasurer to sell property held in trust because of unpaid taxes, directing publication of the Notice of the Tax Sale as provided in statutes, and other matters properly relating thereto.

NRS 361.595 provides for the sale of any property held in trust by the Churchill County Clerk/Treasurer for unpaid taxes. A list of properties currently held in trust for unpaid taxes is provided with this Resolution and marked as Exhibit "A". This resolution directs Linda Rothery, Churchill County Clerk/Treasurer, or her duly authorized Deputy, to sell said trust properties to the highest bidder for cash of a total amount not less than the amount of taxes, costs, penalties, interest, and recording fees legally chargeable against the property.

The Clerk/Treasurer has contracted with a company to conduct the tax sale virtually again this year. The tax sale is scheduled for April 13 and 14, 2023. Deadlines have been established pursuant to statutes whereby the tax payer can pay the amount due and keep the property from being sold. Therefore, the list of properties actually sold may be different. In the event that all properties are redeemed, no tax sale will take place.

FISCAL IMPACT: Not known until the tax sale is conducted or taxes are redeemed.

EXPLANATION OF IMPACT: Either the unpaid taxes will be paid and deposited or the tax sale will take place but it is unknown at this time if any parcels will be sold.

FUNDING SOURCE: General Fund.

ACTION REQUESTED: Adopt

Linda Rothery, Clerk/Treasurer, made this presentation as outlined above.

Chairman Scharmann asked if there was any public comment. He said usually, once we do this, people come in and pay. Clerk/Treasurer Rothery said we do a lot of work. The whole delinquent process for taxes is a yearlong process. Once you get to a 3-year delinquency, that is when the Treasurer takes deed and holds the property in a trust until somebody pays for it. The Legislature changed the law several years ago and that gave the homeowner up until 3 days before the tax sale to pay it in full. We don't like to do this. We do a lot of work in trying to reach out to the owners. Staff in my office go above and beyond what we need to do. We do what we can. This is the starting list and then some names may come off.

Commissioner Myles Getto made a motion to adopt Resolution 3-2023 authorizing the sale of property held in trust by the Churchill County Clerk/Treasurer for unpaid taxes and directing publication of the Notice of Tax Sale as provided by NRS 361.595(3)(a).

Commissioner Justin Heath seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous vote.

B- Consideration and possible action re: Update on the 2023 Nevada Legislature and directing staff to provide letters and/or testimony in support and opposition to any bills affecting Churchill County.

The 82nd Session of the Nevada Legislature started in early February of 2023. There are over 400 bills proposed for this session of the Legislature already, with new bills arriving every day. County staff have reviewed many of the proposed bills and have identified many that are of interest to the county. These bills are identified in the attached legislative spreadsheet.

While elected officials are entitled to support and oppose bills on their own initiative, regular

county departments require approval by the Board of County Commissioners prior to representing a position before the Legislature.

FISCAL IMPACT: N/A

EXPLANATION OF IMPACT: N/A

FUNDING SOURCE: N/A

ACTION REQUESTED: Accept

Joseph Sanford, Chief Civil Deputy District Attorney, reported that there are a lot things going on in the Legislature, which started about 3 weeks ago. They mostly have been just hearing the executive bills but they are getting to the point where they are starting to hear the bills that are drafted by individual Legislators. Most of the bills have focused on indigent services, requirements for Sheriffs, homeless bills, behavioral health and items related to those. Our elected officials are authorized to represent their elective positions in front of the Legislature but our non-elected department heads and folks like that, we have asked that they make their concerns known to us and then bring it before the board so the board can make a decision if Churchill County wants to take an official position on any of those. I see Jorge is here and he had a couple of bills that came to our attention relating to homeless stuff. Those are the most complicated pieces.

I have a list of Senate Bills on one sheet and the list of Assembly Bills on another. It shows the department that it reflects and whether it will have an impact on the state or local counties or both. One column shows whether you are strongly supportive, just supportive, neutral, opposed, or strongly opposed. Generally, our position has been if you are mildly opposed or mildly supportive or it isn't affecting you, we don't necessarily want to waste any political capital on those bills but, if you have a strong position, let us know and that could be something to come before this board for perhaps some sort of recommendation.

The first bill I want to talk about is AB 14. It is stylized as related to health permits but, in fact, is much broader and says: "an act relating to business; revising provisions governing the state business portal; requiring the Secretary of State to provide for the integration with the state business portal of the applications of a state or local agency or health district for a license, certificate, registration, permit or similar type of authorization to conduct a business in this State; requiring, with certain exceptions, each state agency, local agency and health district to comply with certain requirements relating to the state business portal; and providing other matters properly relating thereto." They said they would partially pay for that if the funds were available but that isn't the only problem. The other piece is we use our current system to do a lot more than giving out a permit. It is part of how we track them throughout our whole system from building and planning permits and all of our different types of permits. Silver Flume doesn't have any of those capabilities, so this is a potential problem, in addition to the fact that our money would then likely flow through the state first, which has its own level of problems. This is being opposed by NACO, as well as many other counties, so I don't know whether you wish to but, it is something of a significant concern to how our money would be flowing. County Manager Barbee said and we would recommend opposition and notification to our lobbyist to do so.

Civil Deputy DA Joe Sanford said the next bill is AB 37, which says: "An act relating to

behavioral health; authorizing the establishment of the Behavioral Health Workforce Development Center of Nevada at one or more institutions within the Nevada System of Higher Education (NSHE); prescribing the structure and duties of the Center; authorizing the Board of Regents of the University of Nevada to accept, receive, invest, disburse and account for money received for purposes related to the Center; and providing other matters properly relating thereto.” This bill is designed to encourage NSHE to give scholarships and funding opportunities to people who are enrolling in a graduate program that would provide behavioral health practitioners, whether that is physicians or nurses or things along that line. Our Social Services Director has requested that this be something we would like to have Churchill County support.

The next bill is AB 102. “An act relating to wildlife; prohibiting a person from organizing, sponsoring, promoting, conducting or participating in any type of a competition in which certain animals are taken for prizes or other inducements; providing penalties; and providing other matters properly relating thereto.” Section 1 defines “covered animal” to mean a beaver, bobcat, coyote, fox, mink, muskrat, otter, rabbit, skunk or weasel. If you wanted to have a coyote hunt, that would now be illegal. Many of our other rural areas have expressed their interest in having this opposed but it wasn’t clear if that was actually going to happen because Washoe and Clark don’t have the same concerns.

The next bill is SB 118. We have already done some testimony related to this but this is the modifications to the language to allow Eureka to be part of our health district because it is noncontiguous. We are already taking action to support that and would like to have the authorization to continue to try to get that passed.

The more complicated bills are SB 142 and SB 155. The first one is the homeless Bill of Rights. This particular one is largely the same as other Bill of Rights have been. It also creates a private right of action and there is some language that is not standard and would give rights to homeless that expand on what the regular person would be entitled to. Specifically, that would be a right to privacy in your personal property no matter where you are. This has some concerns related to safety because say we had a person who wanted to come inside the Rafter 3C and we had security wanting to look through their bag, now we have a question of do you need a warrant for that, which is something we are clearly not going to do and now we have a problem. It looks like some of this has not been fully thought out. I know that NACO is lobbying to get some of the more problematic language removed. They did not appear to have any success, at this time. I think the recommendation is to oppose it in its current form to the extent that it gives additional rights beyond what a normal member of the public would have. County Manager Barbee said, when we get through this, we will make a list of opposition and a list of support and a list of neutral in the motion. Civil Deputy DA Joe Sanford said I will do a summary at the end of what the recommendations are for those. We put everything neutral until someone tells us not to.

The second portion of the homeless piece was SB 155. It says existing law authorizes a County Board of Commissioners and the City Council or other governing body of an incorporated city to enact and enforce certain ordinances related to health and safety. Sections 1 and 2 of this bill prohibit a County Board of Commissioners and the City Council or other governing body of an incorporated city, respectively, from enacting and enforcing any ordinance that discriminates against a homeless person by prohibiting a homeless person from engaging in life-sustaining

activities in a public space. What that means is essentially being in any public space while resting or sheltering from the elements. Public space is any outdoor property at all that is owned by the county. This is something that we normally have no position against when it is in normal places. There are persons who are homeless who are on our sidewalks everyday and we have allowed that for a long time. This would allow the homeless to go to any of our public places, even if it is the Cantaloupe Festival or something that we are using for a public event and we would not be able to remove them and that is deeply concerning as we try to grow our event spaces. I think that was an oversight when it was drafted and maybe is something we can address. County Manager Barbee said that can impede on others' rights also. You could have a person that decided to make a camp in the middle of a soccer field and then we would not be able to use the field for soccer, which would impede other people's rights.

Civil Deputy DA Joe Sanford said the recommendation is to oppose those two bills, along with AB 14 and AB 102. We recommend support of ABs 37 and 142 and SB 118 and to provide or direct testimony or letters in opposition to ABs 14, 30, 102, 121, and 156 and SBs 92, 142, 155, and 162.

Sheriff Hickox said there are a large number of bills that are very concerning to us. I went to the Legislature and testified in support of one bill but there are several that we are opposed to. One that I supported is one that I think will help Churchill County, which deals with Sheriff's sales. Instead of having to stand on the court steps to conduct that sale, we would have the option to do it online and broaden the base of bidders. It would smooth out the transaction deals for the purchase of that property but it would also broaden it out. I think there were only two Sheriffs that abstained from giving an opinion.

There is another bill that we are adamantly opposed to that deals with jails and corrections and the fact that all mail coming in now is scanned and a copy of that mail is given to the inmate. The reason for that is the possibility that it could be laced with drugs and, it does happen. There is legislation that says that all mail must be given to the inmate. We have a strong opposition to that. It would be putting the inmates and staff at risk. There are other parts of that we oppose also.

There is another bill that deals with polling places that must be erected in jails and the registering of voters in the jail. We have strong concerns as to how that would actually take place and the safety of the staff. We have issues with that. The homeless bill that was talked about earlier we are opposed to, as well. There would be rights afforded to the homeless that are not allowed for a regular citizen.

Chairman Scharmann said are you opposed to the one on animals? Sheriff Hickox said, as a livestock person, absolutely I am. I think we should have the ability to. Chairman Scharmann interrupted and said I mean as a Sheriff? Sheriff Hickox said yes, I am opposed to that as a Sheriff, as well. I don't think we should put extra duties on our county. There are several others that we are opposed to. There is one that was pushed through by Pershing County. If it goes, it will have benefits for us. We were not asked to give an opinion on that one. I think it will get support from us regarding large venues and large events that are held on state property, some of the revenue does come back to the county. The reason Pershing County is pushing that is the

Burning Man fiasco, so they are asking for some of those revenues to come back. Since we have several events that run through Churchill County, it might not be a bad idea for us, too. I will work with Joe on some of those. We are also working with the Nevada Sheriffs and Chiefs, who hired a lobbyist out of Reno, and we also have some from the Washoe and Clark Sheriffs to help out too.

There is also some concern amongst the Sheriffs was the P.O.S.T change to hiring stipulations for law enforcement to where they would no longer have to have U.S. citizenship. They could just be an illegal worker and then we would have to make them a law enforcement officer. We have concerns because we would be unable to do a thorough background check to make sure that we are hiring people with a clean history. They would have access to private and personal information and I would rather hire somebody that we were able to do a thorough background check on.

Jorge Guerrero, Parks & Recreation Director, said I recently attended a conference and during our director's forum, some of these bills came up. As far as the homeless bills, there were some consensus that we want to oppose those. There is a safety factor and, of course, what is the intent of the actual facility? What is it meant to be used for. That is what we want to establish. We want the safety and the atmosphere. We have people who pay fees for these events. Not to mention being able to interface with these folks, we are not trained to do that. We would have to have the Sheriff's Office be more involved but, from what it sounds like, it would tie their hands too. That is not what we want to see happen. We talked about these types of individuals and the challenges that come along with that, like the mental health issues, illnesses, infectious diseases, substance abuse, and criminal activities. The other thing is the impact on the facility would not be what it was intended for and we would have issues with sanitation and cleaning, illegal dumping, which those are things like blankets and tents, and we already deal with those along with the substance abuse and criminal activities. This is something we oppose.

The other bill is the street vendors and giving them to opportunity to be able to function wherever. It would be in issue when we put on large scale events at our facilities. We have processes that we follow and we want to continue doing that. When folks come in and use our facilities, they are paying a fee for that use of what they intended it to be and these other folks would basically be poaching their events. Civil Deputy DA Joe Sanford said it is highlighted on the spreadsheet I just had not had enough time to speak with you to say for sure that you wanted to oppose, so I didn't want to present it today. It is on the Senate Bill side.

County Manager Barbee said, if we know the bill numbers and we have a pretty good idea from staff of what we want to do, let's go ahead and get it on because it is then another 2 weeks before we can do another list. Sheriff, I don't suppose you could have those bills texted to you, those bill numbers, by chance. Then we can put them on the consolidated list and turn those in to our lobbyists if that is the will of the Commission, otherwise it is a 2-week wait.

Chairman Scharmann said, personally, I agree with everything you are saying and what the Sheriff was talking about.

Civil Deputy DA Joe Sanford said I compiled the list of the bills that we received testimony on

today for opposition and approvals. The bills for opposition are ABs 14, 30, 102, 121, and 156 and SBs 92, 142, 155, and 162. AB 156 was not talked about but required that the Sheriff ensure that there be medication assisted treatment for persons in the jail who have an opioid addiction, which is something that we may or may not do right now but the second piece required that they get access to the same service once they are released, which we presently don't have the ability to do. That seems like a major liability. There is just no way for us to ensure that actually happens. We recommend it to be opposed also.

The bills we are in support of are ABs 37 and 142 and SB 118. County Manager Barbee said, on AB 142, by going online, does that open us up for more out of state, out of area speculation, land speculation, and does that, what does that do to us? Is that good, bad, or indifferent? Any one in the room? Sheriff Hickox said it would possibly open us up to out of state if they were monitoring our site to do so. We can sell any other property that we have on there except real property. This would allow is to have that option instead of standing on the courthouse steps. Last time I did one, we had zero people show up. The property went back into holding, so we were not able to recoup any funds that were part of the judgement, so this would allow us to open that up to other people that may not have been able to attend that auction.

Clerk/Treasurer Rothery said we do online auctions and our turnout is so much better and more convenient and we do bring a bigger return for the sales. The sales are so much higher. There are benefits there. You will definitely get somebody whether it is out of state or local.

Sheriff Hickox said, with regard to the bill with the methadone in the jail, we do currently, ensure that if someone comes in addicted to opioids and are going down, we do have a method in place with treatment with a doctor. We have to do that very carefully and with scrutiny because we don't want them to overdose and die but, if they don't have something, they can go into withdrawals, which can be deadly, as well. We are opposing the aspect of making sure they have enough after they get out and to make sure they get the same level of treatment. We can't ensure that, so I think that is something that is wrong to place on us and we oppose that.

Chairman Scharmann said I meant to ask a question earlier when we had the, about the Central Nevada Health District. Is it dealing with mental health? County Manager Barbee said mental health as a goal or a standard, and Shannon can correct me if she is on and, if she isn't, I can say whatever I want. Mental health is not a stand alone measurement or indicator and you were in the news too, so you can help me if I get this wrong, it is actually interwoven through all the services that are going to be provided. I can tell you that it has been discussed and brought up at every one of the meetings we have had thus far. It is represented in the conversations and the directives in the mission and goals of the District, so the answer is yes. Commissioner Heath said that is one of the main things we are looking at. That is the Mayor's priority - trying to get the funding for that and get the grants for that.

Chairman Scharmann asked if there was any public comment but there was none.

Commissioner Myles Getto made a motion to authorize the County Manager to provide or to direct testimony or a letter in support of ABs 37 and 142 and SB 118 and to provide or direct testimony or letters in opposition to ABs 14, 30, 102, 121, and 156 and SBs 92, 142,

155, and 162. Commissioner Justin Heath seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous vote.

Consent Items:

A- Consideration and possible action re: Report of the condition of each fund in the treasury and the statements of receipts and expenditures pursuant to NRS 251.030 and NRS 354.290.

A Fund Balance Report is attached indicating the beginning balance, receipts, disbursements, and the ending balance of each fund for Churchill County as required by NRS 251.030 and NRS 354.290.

FISCAL IMPACT: N/A

EXPLANATION OF IMPACT: N/A

FUNDING SOURCE: N/A

ACTION REQUESTED: Accept

B- Consideration and possible action re: Treasurer's Report for January 2023 showing a balance of \$53,689,436.77 in the account.

Clerk/Treasurer Linda Rothery provides the Treasurer's Monthly Report for January 2023 showing a balance of \$53,689,436.77 in the account. This report is provided for the board's consideration and acceptance.

FISCAL IMPACT: N/A

EXPLANATION OF IMPACT: N/A

FUNDING SOURCE: N/A

ACTION REQUESTED: Accept

Chairman Scharmann asked if there was any public comment but there was none.

Commissioner Justin Heath made a motion to approve the Consent Agenda as submitted. Commissioner Myles Getto seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous vote.

Consider Future Agenda Items:

There were no future Agenda items suggested.

Commissioner and Management Staff Reports:

Chairman Scharmann reported on the following topics:

- I had a CEDA meeting on the 23rd.
- I met with Robin Schofield, of the Navy's IEC, as I missed the last meeting and I was appointed as Co-Vice-Chair. I have another meeting scheduled with her prior to next meeting.
- We are meeting today regarding flood control.

Commissioner Heath reported on the following topics:

- I have a CNHD meeting today.

Commissioner Getto had nothing to report.

County Manager Barbee reported on the following topics:

- We have been having flood control discussions.
- We have been talking about the impact to the Navy's expansion.

Sheriff Hickox reported on the following topics:

- We have been busy with calls for service.
- We attended the Nevada Sheriffs and Chiefs to reaffirm our stance in support of gun control and gun rights. They will meet again in May and we will participate in the Memorial Run.
- POOL/PACT put together a survey of jails and they decided there were some that ran well and some that ran not so well and some that were ran poorly. Those that were run well were asked to sit on an inaugural board of the Nevada Administrators of Detention Centers (NADC). They asked to have dispatchers and administrators from other jails come in and sit in with our staff. We are hosting the first meeting of that group in a couple of weeks and they will tour the facility. The board is welcome to participate.
- We are meeting with Sherry today to modernize our scheduling program to have a more streamlined payroll. Thanks her staff for their patience.

Comptroller Wideman reported on the following topics:

- I sent out the sales tax for December and, for the first 6 months of the year, we were 2.5% higher than 2022.

Clerk/Treasurer Rothery reported on the following topics:

- The legislative process is busy but I think elections are going to trump all the other bills in the works.
- The property taxes for the 4th installment are due Monday.

Civil Deputy DA Sanford reported on the following topics:

- We have been working on flood mitigation.
- We have been working on legislative matters.

Claims and Payroll Transmittals:

The Claims and Payroll Transmittals were submitted for the board's consideration and approval.

Public Comment:

Chairman Scharmann asked if there was any public comment but there was none.

Adjournment:

The meeting was adjourned at 10:08 AM.

Quarterly Jail Inspection:

A- Pursuant to N.R.S. 211.020, the Commissioners will conduct the Quarterly Jail Inspection at the Churchill County Jail upon the close of meeting to inquire into the security of the jail and treatment and condition of the prisoners.

Pursuant to N.R.S. 211.020, the Commissioners will conduct the Quarterly Jail Inspection at the Churchill County Jail upon the close of meeting to inquire into the security of the jail and treatment and condition of the prisoners. A report of the inspection will be prepared and recorded.

FISCAL IMPACT: N/A

EXPLANATION OF IMPACT: N/A

FUNDING SOURCE: N/A

ACTION REQUESTED: None; Informational Only

The quarterly jail inspection was conducted following adjournment of the meeting and a report was made separately of that inspection.

Approved:


Harry Scharmann, Chairman

Approved:


Justin Heath, Vice-Chairman

Approved:


Myles Getto, Commissioner

ATTEST:

Linda Rothery, Clerk/Treasurer



Renae Paholke, Deputy Clerk